

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/654,868	09/04/2003	Sanjay P. Lobo	93-03-014	4656	
34279 DOCKET CLI	7590 10/15/200 ERK, DM/EDS	EXAMINER			
P.O. DRAWE	R 800889	DANNEMAN, PAUL			
DALLAS, TX	75380		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/654,868	LOBO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PAUL DANNEMAN	3627		

	PAUL DANNEMAN	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TW				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further coi (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	auding or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116	21 See attached Notice of Non Co.	mpliant Amandment (OTOL 224)				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Americanient (i	1 OL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's arguments, but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Gullotta does not have an "order services management component." Per Applicant's specification paragraphs 34 and 41 the order services management component allows user access to setup corporateluser administrative access. Gullotta in at least Column 5, lines 4-26 discloses a system for receiving requests for services from user computers and administrator computers for authorization of a service request.

Applicant further argues that Gullotta does not teach or suggest a "assurance management component", the Examiner respectfully disagrees, Gullotta in at least Column 15, lines 54-57 discloses a system administrator developing a deployment strategy that meets the organization's performance and security needs and in Column 16, lines 9-11 discloses a load balancing algorithm. Gullotta in at least Column, 9, lines 19-28 and 38-41 discloses providing users with specific service, all of which are well known to be components of a Service Level Agreement (Fig. 1).

Applicant further argues that Gullotta does not have a "financial management component". Per applicant's specification paragraph 47 such a service provides metering, billing usage billing, costing, etc. The Examiner has cited Fig.1 where block 22 an external system is performing Account Requests, Billing Requests and SLA (service Level Agreement) requests.

Examiner maintains that Claims 1 and 3 and their dependent claims are properly rejected.

/F. Ryan Zeender/ SPE 3627